UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,956	11/21/2006	Arto Huotari	966934.00002	6749
32256 PATTON BOG	7590 03/18/201 •GS, LLP	1	EXAM	IINER
8484 WESTPARK DR.			MAI, HAO D	
9TH FLOOR MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/575,956	HUOTARI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	HAO D. MAI	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 6(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this commur BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Ja</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal mat	•	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
_			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the off Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the off the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyal on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-13 and 22-24 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Scott (3,363,570). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
 - Claims 1 and 14 each recites one single "feed water line" for (1) leading water from pressure chamber to instrument, and (2) leading water from water source, e.g. reservoir chamber, into the pressure chamber. The drawings and specification as originally filed fail to provide support for such single feed water line. In fact, the originally filed drawings and specifications shows two different disconnected feed water line 10.
 - Claim 11 and 22 each recites "a branch line arranged in the feed water line downstream of the pressure chamber", which contradicts with the independent claims 1's and 14's feed line being <u>upstream</u> of the pressure chamber. Furthermore, the originally filed drawings and specification do not have support for such branch line in the feed water line being downstream of the pressure chamber as claimed.

Art Unit: 3732

3. Applicant is required to amend the claims to recite subject matter having sufficient support from the originally filed disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-7, 12-18, and 23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holsclaw et al. (6,482,370) in view of Scott (3,363,570).
- 6. **Regarding claim 1**, Holsclaw et al. discloses a dental unit (Fig. 1) comprising a feed water line 12 capable of leading water to at least one water outlet point; a pressure chamber 20 in connection with the feed water line 12 and a compressed air line (shown as the un-labeled arrow line above the chamber 20; column 2 lines 58-61; column 10 lines 53-5). Holsclaw et al. disclose the pressure chamber 20 being arranged in functional connection with pressure control means, e.g. 36 or 38 (column 5 lines 54-58).
- 7. However, Holsclaw et al. fail to disclose such pressure controlling means capable controlling the pressure in the pressure chamber 20 according to a desired pressure level via the compressed air line. Scott also discloses a pressure chamber 15 in connection with a pressure air line 25 having regulator 21 capable of controlling the pressure in the chamber 15 according to a desired pressure via the compressed air line 25 (Fig. 1; column 3 lines 20-47). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holsclaw et al. by incorporate such pressure controlling device/regulator in

Art Unit: 3732

order to control the pressure in the pressure chamber according to a desired pressure level as explicitly taught by Scott.

Page 4

- 8. Holsclaw et al. are further silent to a pump arranged along the feed water line upstream of the pressure chamber capable of pumping water to the pressure chamber when the pressure in the pressure chamber is greater than a pressure in the feed water line. Scott discloses the pressure chamber 15 adapted to withstand super atmospheric pressure (column 3 lines 8-10) and pump 12, arranged along the feed water line 14 upstream of the pressure chamber 15, capable of pumping water into chamber 15 when the pressure therein is higher than the feed water line 14 (Fig. 1; column 3 lines 64-75). Note that Scott discloses at trip-out pressure of switch 23 being higher than pressure in line 14 but not to exceed pressure in chamber 15 (column 3 lines 64-71); therefore water is being pumped into chamber 15 via feed line 14 by pump 12 even when chamber 15 has a higher pressure than feed line 14. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holsclaw et al. by incorporating such pump in order to pump water into the chamber even when the pressure in the chamber is higher than the pressure in the feed line as explicitly taught by Scott.
- 9. **As to claims 2-3**, Scott's pressure control device 21 includes a 3-way valve arrangement 26 (Fig. 1). **As to claims 4-5**, Holsclaw et al. fail to disclose means for recognizing height of the fluid level. Scott discloses means 30/34 for recognizing height of the fluid level, wherein the pump 12 is configure to pump water periodically into the chamber according to a signal, i.e. trip-out, from means 30/34 (column 4 lines 2-12). **As to claims 6-7**, Scott discloses of a reservoir chamber 10; it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holsclaw et al. by including a reservoir chamber as taught by Scott for storing water from the city line before being pumped

Art Unit: 3732

into the pressure chamber so that the pressure chamber can be readily replenished. Note that the claimed overflow edge structure is very well known, such as the overflow edge/hole structure disposed near the top of household bathtub or sink wall. It would have been obvious incorporate such overflow edge structure to Holsclaw/Scott's reservoir chamber in order to ensure fluid level in the reservoir does not pass certain predetermined height. As to claim 12-13, it would have been obvious that the pressure chamber is detachable from the feed water line since city or public feed water line does not come with undetachable pressure chamber. Regarding the method claims 14-18 and 23, the claimed steps would have been obvious and naturally carried out when using the system disclosed by Holsclaw in view of Scott as detailed above.

unpatentable over Holsclaw et al. in view of Scott, and further in view of Yamada et al. (5,151,731). Holsclaw/Scott fail to disclose the reservoir chamber being opened to atmospheric pressure and the claimed specifics of a feed link and detergent feed link to the reservoir chamber. Yamada et al. show a known water replenishing system (Fig. 7) having a storage chamber 12 being open to atmospheric pressure having distanced upstream feed links capable of feeding water and/or chemical/detergents into the chamber 12. Also note Figure 10 of Yamada shows two-way circulation between at least two of the shown reservoirs/chambers. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Holsclaw/Scott's reservoir chamber being open to atmospheric pressure as a choice well within the skill of an artisan while yielding the same results and to include, and to include such chemical/detergent feed links if required by the dental procedure to include cleaning agents for cleaning teeth. The method claims would have been obvious and naturally

Art Unit: 3732

carried out when using the system disclosed by Holsclaw in view of Scott and further in view of

Yamada as detailed above.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s)

of rejection as detailed above.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to HAO D. MAI whose telephone number is (571)270-3002. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hao D Mai/

Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Cris L. Rodriguez/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732